

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/412,886	10/05/1999	JOHN Y. CHEN	38	1224	
75	590 08/13/2002				
JOHN Y CHEN		10/05/1999   JOHN Y. CHEN   38   1224     7590   08/13/2002     EXAMINER     D ELASTOMERICS INC   HARLAN, ROBERT D			
APPLIED ELASTOMERICS INC 163 W HARRIS AVENUE SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080			HARLAN, ROBERT D		
				<del></del>	
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1713	10	
		I	,		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	_	09/412,886	CHEN, JOHN Y.		
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Robert D. Harlan	1713		
	The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati				
Peri a to	or Reply				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relicion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute. cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.			
3) <u>□</u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice ton of Claims	allowance except for formal matte inder <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,2 and 9-33 is/are pending in t	he application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-8</u> is/are withd	rawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 9-33 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.			
	on Papers	·			
9)[] 7	Γhe specification is objected to by the Exa	iminer.			
10) 🔲 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.		
— –	Applicant may not request that any objection		• •		
11)[1	he proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.		
40) 🗆 7	If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •			
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	preign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ol>	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).	_		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign languag cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application has bee	n received.		
Attachment(					
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	S)   Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 10		

Art Unit: 1713

### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 1, 2 and 9-33 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1, 9 and 18-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. In claim 1, the Applicant recites "(pseudo random copolymers or interpolymers)." The Applicant should refrain from using parenthetical language in the claims because such language tends to either broaden or narrow the scope of the

Art Unit: 1713

claim beyond that which is intended by an otherwise definite expression. Parenthetical language is appropriate, however, in the specification. It is suggested that the Applicant delete parenthetical language.

- 5. In claim 9, the Applicant uses the phrase "minor amounts."
  Such language is confusing because the Applicant has not
  provided an adequate definition.
- 6. Throughout claims 18-33 the Applicant uses trademarks. It is suggested that the Applicant remove the trademark language from the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 1713

- 8. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 9. Claims 1, 2, 9 and 10-33 are rejected under 35
  U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gralaus et al., U.S.
  Patent No. 5,994,446 (hereinafter "Gralaus"). Gralaus discloses gels of oil extended triblock copolymers. See Gralaus,
  Abstract, Gel Examples 1-2. Gralaus further teaches the use of SEBS to form gels. See Gralaus, Gel Examples 1-5. Thus, claims 1, 2, 9 and 10-33 are anticipated by Gralaus.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (703) 306-5926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM - 8 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/412,886

Art Unit: 1713

11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9559 for regular communications and (703) 872-9559 for After Final communications.

12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

Robert D. Harlan

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 1713

rdh

August 12, 2002